



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

| | | | |
|--|--|----|---|
| (51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : G06F 17/28 | | A1 | (11) International Publication Number: WO 99/57651 |
| | | | (43) International Publication Date: 11 November 1999 (11.11.99) |
| (21) International Application Number: PCT/EP99/02959 (22) International Filing Date: 30 April 1999 (30.04.99) (30) Priority Data: 09/071,900 4 May 1998 (04.05.98) US (71) Applicant: TRADOS GMBH [DE/DE]; Hacklanderstrasse 17, D-70184 Stuttgart (DE). (72) Inventors: HUMMEL, Jochen; Birkenwaldstrasse 161, D-70191 Stuttgart (DE). KNYPHAUSEN, Iko; 803 Prince Street, Alexandria, VA 22314 (US). (74) Agent: KLUNKER, SCHMITT-NILSON, HIRSCH; Winzer- erstrasse 106, D-80797 München (DE). | | | (81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the</i> <i>claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of</i> <i>amendments.</i> |

(54) Title: MACHINE-ASSISTED TRANSLATION TOOLS

| 410 | 420 | 430 |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| TOKEN TEXT | CLASSIFICATION | TRANSFORMATION |
| A MAN | TEXT | - |
| CALLED | TEXT | - |
| MR. MILLER | PLACEABLE/ NAME W/ TITLE | HERR MILLER |
| LEFT HIS APARTMENT ON THE | TEXT | - |
| 25TH OF JANUARY | PLACEABLE/ DATE-LONG FORM | 25. JANUAR |
| IN A CAR THAT IS C... | TEXT | - |
| 160 MPH | PLACEABLE/ NUMBER W/ UNIT | 260 KM/H |

(57) Abstract

The present invention provides an improved method and apparatus for translating a source language to a target language. The invention uses placeables (e.g., proper nouns, titles and names, dates, times, units and measurements, numbers, formatting information, such as tags or escape sequences, styles, graphics, hyperlinks) to assist a translator by not having to retype information that does not need to be translated and to provide conversions to the target locale if necessary like for speeds.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

| | | | | | | | |
|----|--------------------------|----|--|----|--|----|--------------------------|
| AL | Albania | ES | Spain | LS | Lesotho | SI | Slovenia |
| AM | Armenia | FI | Finland | LT | Lithuania | SK | Slovakia |
| AT | Austria | FR | France | LU | Luxembourg | SN | Senegal |
| AU | Australia | GA | Gabon | LV | Latvia | SZ | Swaziland |
| AZ | Azerbaijan | GB | United Kingdom | MC | Monaco | TD | Chad |
| BA | Bosnia and Herzegovina | GE | Georgia | MD | Republic of Moldova | TG | Togo |
| BB | Barbados | GH | Ghana | MG | Madagascar | TJ | Tajikistan |
| BE | Belgium | GN | Guinea | MK | The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia | TM | Turkmenistan |
| BF | Burkina Faso | GR | Greece | ML | Mali | TR | Turkey |
| BG | Bulgaria | HU | Hungary | MN | Mongolia | TT | Trinidad and Tobago |
| BJ | Benin | IE | Ireland | MR | Mauritania | UA | Ukraine |
| BR | Brazil | IL | Israel | MW | Malawi | UG | Uganda |
| BY | Belarus | IS | Iceland | MX | Mexico | US | United States of America |
| CA | Canada | IT | Italy | NE | Niger | UZ | Uzbekistan |
| CF | Central African Republic | JP | Japan | NL | Netherlands | VN | Viet Nam |
| CG | Congo | KE | Kenya | NO | Norway | YU | Yugoslavia |
| CH | Switzerland | KG | Kyrgyzstan | NZ | New Zealand | ZW | Zimbabwe |
| CI | Côte d'Ivoire | KP | Democratic People's Republic of Korea | PL | Poland | | |
| CM | Cameroon | KR | Republic of Korea | PT | Portugal | | |
| CN | China | KZ | Kazakhstan | RO | Romania | | |
| CU | Cuba | LC | Saint Lucia | RU | Russian Federation | | |
| CZ | Czech Republic | LI | Liechtenstein | SD | Sudan | | |
| DE | Germany | LK | Sri Lanka | SE | Sweden | | |
| DK | Denmark | LR | Liberia | SG | Singapore | | |
| EE | Estonia | | | | | | |

MACHINE-ASSISTED TRANSLATION TOOLS

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

5 The present invention relates to machine processing of text and language and, more particularly, to a method and apparatus including a software implementation for machine-assisted translation or machine translation.

2. Discussion of the Related Technology

10 Translation of text from one language to another is often a tedious task requiring the efforts of a skilled translator. Soon after the advent of computers, researchers began to use computers as an aid for natural language translation. The earliest machine translation (MT) systems relied on large bilingual dictionaries where entries for words of the source language (SL) gave one or more equivalents in the target language (TL). It quickly became
15 apparent that dictionary rules for syntax and grammar were so complex that experts could not develop a comprehensive set of rules to describe the machine translation have been abandoned.

Throughout the world, multilingual cultures and multinational trade create an increasing demand for translation services. The demand for
20 translation of commercial and technical documents represents a large and growing segment of the translation market. Examples of such documents are contracts, instruction manuals, forms, and computer software. Often when a product or service is "localized" for a new market, a great deal of documentation must be translated, creating a need for cost-effective
25 translation. Because commercial and technical information is often detailed and precise, accurate translations continue to be in demand.

Machine translation (MT) systems are usually classified as either direct, transfer-based, or interlingua-based. In the direct approach, there are no intermediate representations between the source language and the target

language. The source language text is processed "directly" in order to transform it into the target text. This process is essentially a word-to-word translation with some adjustments. This approach is not followed by any MT system at present due to a perceived weakness attributable to ignoring all aspects of the internal structure of sentences.

In the transfer-based approach, information from the various stages of analysis from the source text is transferred to the corresponding stages of the generation of the target text. For example, transfer is achieved by setting up correspondence at the lexical level, at the grammatical level, or at the level of the structure built by the grammar, and so forth. The transfer method operates only on a particular pair of languages and, therefore, must be specifically and painstakingly created for each pair of languages.

The interlingua-based approach depends upon an assumption that a suitable intermediate representation can be defined such that the source text can be mapped into the intermediate representation which can then be mapped into the target text. In principle, this approach is clearly attractive because, unlike the transfer-based approach, it is not necessary to build a separate transfer program for each pair of languages. However, it is not clear whether a truly language-independent intermediate representation can be devised. Current interlingua-based systems are much less ambitious about their claims to the universality of the intermediate representation. For a high-quality translation, it is often necessary to have access to some particular aspects of the source and target languages.

In the transfer-based approach, there have been some recent advances. In the development of mathematical and computational models of grammar, there is increasing emphasis on locating syntactic as well as semantic information directly with the lexical items by associating structures with the lexical items and defining operations for composing these objects. From this perspective, all the information particular to a language is encapsulated in the lexical items and the structures associated with them. Different languages will be distinguished at this level, but not with respect to the operations for composing these structures, which are the same for all languages. The idea, then, is to define all bilingual correspondence at this level. It remains to be

seen whether this approach can be carried out among a variety of different languages.

5 Some existing MT systems require that documents be written in highly constrained texts. Such systems are useful for preparing manuals in different languages. Here, the system is really not translating a manual written in one natural language into a set of other natural languages, but rather is generating multilingual texts from a highly constrained text, thus avoiding many problems in conventional MT.

10 Recently, research has focused on ways of using machines to assist human translators rather than to autonomously perform translations. This approach is referred to as machine-assisted human translation (MAHT). Systems are available that produce high-quality translation of business correspondence using pre-translated fragments with some translations filled in by human translators. An example of a machine-assisted translation tool
15 is a translation memory (TM) system. Translation memory systems leave the creative work to the translator, however they can learn from the translator, and they actively support the translation process by automatically suggesting existing translations and terminology. A translation memory is a database that collects translations as they are performed, along with the source
20 language equivalents. After a number of translations have been performed and stored in the translation memory, the translation memory can be accessed to assist new translations where the new translations include identical or similar source language text as had been included in the translation memory.

25 The advantage of such a system is that it can, in theory, leverage existing MT technology to make the translator more efficient without sacrificing the traditional accuracy provided by a human translator. The system makes translations more efficient by ensuring that the translator never has to translate the same source text twice. While a translator works,
30 translation memory operates in the background to 'learn' original sentences and their corresponding translations. In the process, this data may be linked into the neural network. Later, translation memory rapidly finds identical or similar sentences and automatically displays them as a working basis for

creating a new translation. Thus, translation memory ensures that no sentence need be translated twice.

Translation memories are most useful when they are able to locate not only identical matches, but also approximate or "fuzzy matches." Fuzzy matching facilitates retrieval of text that differs slightly in word order, morphology, case, or spelling. The approximate matching is necessary because of the large variety possible in natural language texts. Fuzzy matching to find sentences with similar content has seen its performance perfected by the implementation of neural network technology. The translator has the option of choosing among alternative translations in addition to the one automatically suggested by memory. Along with the source sentence and its translation, each translation unit can also store information on users, dates and frequency of use, and classifying attributes and text fields. This information enables easy maintenance of translation memories, which naturally become quite large over time.

Concordances are another tool commonly used by translators. Electronic concordances are files having text strings, *i.e.*, words, phrases or sentences, that are matched with the context in which the word appeared in a particular document. When a translator is unsure of the meaning to be given a particular word, the concordance can demonstrate how the word is used in several different contexts. This information allows for a more proper selection of translations to accurately reflect the meaning of a source language document. Electronic concordances include text searching software that allows the translator to extract all text strings in a library that include a desired word or phrase. The extracted texts strings can be examined quickly to gain a greater understanding of how a particular word or phrase is used in context.

Multilingual natural language processing represents a growing need and opportunity in the field of international commerce and communication. Machine-assisted translation tools are needed to make document translation more efficient and less costly. Furthermore, machine-assisted translation tools are needed that efficiently leverage the large amount of stored knowledge available as pre-translated commercial and technical documents.

Specifically, a need exists for a translation memory tool that is language-independent and provides accurate, rapid fuzzy retrieval of pre-translated material.

Up until now, text that was considered to be a placeable had to be translated and manually entered by the translator. Placeables are often re-used "as is" in the translated text or in a converted form. Examples of such placeables are: proper nouns, titles and names, dates, times, units and measurements, numbers, formatting information, such as tags or escape sequences, styles, graphics, hyperlinks, cross-references, automatic fields in text, or any other kind of information that will not be translated but, rather, converted without knowledge about the context. The translation of placeables is time-consuming and can lead to errors when conversions must be made for things such as currency, *e.g.*, dollar to yen and speed, *e.g.*, miles per hour may to kilometers per hour. There is a need for a program that identifies the text considered to be placeable, makes any necessary conversions, and inserts the placeable into the target text.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides an improved method and apparatus for translating a source language into a target language. The invention uses placeables to assist a translator by facilitating the automatic or semiautomatic replacement of placeables in the target language and making any necessary conversions according to the target locale, *e.g.*, "German - Standard". A placeable as used herein is a term that designates data that does not require translation into a target language or, in some cases, data types that are particularly suitable for semiautomatic replacement (*e.g.*, proper nouns, titles and names, formatting information, such as tags or escape sequences, styles, graphics) and data requiring a translation that does not change the context of the data (*e.g.*, physical and currency units, time zones, date formats, hyperlinks etc.). In addition, a placeable may be more complex and advanced. For example, a placeable could be determined by specialized dictionaries and/or the context or environment information of the entire information designated for translation, *e.g.*, data in the chemical environment, automotive

environment, music lyrics, legal environment. The context or environment information would then decide how certain terms are translated. A

source placeable identifier may be used to identify the placeables in the source information, *e.g.*, source locale, based on source concordance relating to the context and environment of the placeable. In translation memories, the placeable may be converted into a language-independent format, *e.g.*, meta-representation. The language-independent format allows the translation memory to convert the placeable into any target language because the format is common to all locales. After conversion to the independent format, the placeable can be automatically or semiautomatically placed in the target translation. A target placeable converter is used to convert the placeables into target information, *e.g.*, target locale, based on target concordance relating to the context and environment of the placeable.

A system, according to the invention, may identify a placeable and determine its type in order to facilitate subsequent handling of the placeable, typically to facilitate a decision on placing, converting, or translating the placeable. The identification of a placeable and determination of its type may be accomplished by a rule-based process. In addition, the identification and determination process may be performed by or with the assistance of a finite state machine such as table lookup functions or a character by character determination.

In database-driven TMs using this invention, there is a high potential to reduce the amount of storage space needed to store the source and target units in pairs by storing the units as templates, or skeletons, together with the placeable information.

An object of the invention is to reduce the effort required of a translator to translate source information into target information by eliminating the need to manually type or move the placeable to a translation by allowing placeables to be inserted in the target information and to perform any desired conversions by means of a target placeable converter.

Another object of the invention is to reduce the amount of time or effort required to translate source text by automatically converting placeables, *e.g.*, dates, measurement units into target text for insertion.

Another object of the invention is to reduce errors that may occur when a translator is manually converting measurement units in a source text to a target text by automatically converting placeable data.

5 Another object of the invention is to reduce the amount of time or effort required to translate source text by automatically identifying formatting codes and inserting the codes into a target text.

Another object of the invention is to reduce the amount of time or effort required to translate a source text into a target text by automatically translating hypertext links.

10 Another object of the invention is to convert the placeables into a language-independent format.

An object of the invention is to automatically change the appearance of placeable elements if appropriate, for example, by converting measurement units, date formats, currency values and units, titles and names, etc.

15 An object of the invention is to semiautomatically insert placeable elements at a user-defined position in the target text upon interaction from the user, *e.g.*, upon one or more keystrokes, upon one or more spoken commands, upon mouse clicks, etc., when translating source information.

20 An object of the invention is to automatically insert placeable elements, with the help of reference material or other machine-computable information, that allow the machine to determine the position for the insertion without user interaction.

An object of the invention is that it can be used with manual translation or with a translation memory.

25 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

- FIG. 1 shows an embodiment of the invention;
- FIG. 2 shows another embodiment of the invention;
- FIG. 3 shows another embodiment of the invention;
- FIG. 4 shows another embodiment of the invention;
- 30 FIG. 5 shows another embodiment of the invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

The present invention may be carried out by a variety of commercially available language translation computer software programs. The invention can work with a translation memory, however, it is not a requirement. Advantageously, the system will support at least two
5 languages.

First, the system can receive input source translation information, such as text data or voice data, that may be entered or retrieved in various ways, (e.g., data file, scanned data, voice recording, voice dictation, etc.). The program may divide the source translation information into linguistic
10 forms or data translation units. This can be accomplished by segmenting the source translation information into words, sentences, or paragraphs. This process can be system-designated or user-designated.

Manual Translation

FIG. 1 shows a flow chart illustrating a process according to the invention when a translator is not using a translation memory. Initially,
15 input source translation information may be divided into segments, such as sentences. Elements of the segments are provided to the processor at location (110). The system determines whether an element is considered a placeable (120). While translating the text, the system will advise the
20 translator that a data element is a placeable and will allow the translator to have this placeable inserted into the target text (130). At this point, the system may also determine the type of placeable in order to assist the translator. The type information may be provided to the translator in any suitable format, such as by a leading signal, color, font change, etc. In this
25 method, the translator may determine where the placeable should be inserted, e.g., upon one or more keystrokes, upon one or more spoken commands, upon mouse click(s), etc. If the placeable requires a conversion to the target information, this system may be set to automatically convert the placeable based on type, when the user selects to drop the placeable
30 into the target text (140). This conversion is performed by a target placeable converter according to location information of the target output (e.g., target locale, target dictionaries based on target

environment/context). The manual translation may be performed in a Windows environment.

Translation Memory

Translation memories are used for reference purposes when
5 translating information. FIG. 2 shows a commercially available software
program for managing reference material for translation when using a
translation memory. The reference material may be collections of text,
normally in two or more languages, whereby previously translated source
text units are associated with target text units. The input designated for
10 translation will be referred to as source translation information. As
mentioned above, translation memories are most useful when they are able
to locate not only identical matches, but also approximate or "fuzzy
matches." Fuzzy matching facilitates retrieval of text that differs slightly
from the source translation information. The translation memory can
15 provide information to the translator that indicates how close the retrieved
suggested information matches the source translation information. This
information could be disseminated in the form of a numerical
representation such as a percentage. In the event that a unit of text (*i.e.*,
source translation information) to be translated is identical, or very
20 similar, to a source text unit occurring in the translation memory and
which has been accurately translated at an earlier time, a retrieval system
can show as a reference the translation stored in the translation memory
as target text. The translator can then copy this reference unit and modify
it to fit the new source translation information. In prior systems, if a
25 placeable, occurring in the text to be translated, was different from a
corresponding element of the translation memory source text, it would be
necessary for a translator to manually transfer and, if necessary, translate
or convert placeable data into the target information.

The user interface of the translation software provides a program
30 window (200) for displaying different items for the translator. This
particular example shows the translation software program window (210)
and a word processor's program window (240) at the same time so that

the user can view the entire source translation information, or smaller units of interest, during the translation process. Item (240) can also be configured to display the final target information, *e.g.*, the translated text, during the translation process. Item (210) shows an area (220) where the translation program may display the linguistic form or data translation unit of the source translation information designated for translation. In addition, window (210) may display some suggested translations for the linguistic form when using a translation memory tool (230).

FIG. 3 and FIG. 5 illustrate the invention when a translator is using a translation memory. First, the linguistic units of source translation information may further be divided into tokens or source elements. Once a source element is identified as a placeable (310), its type is determined (330) (*i.e.*, date, time, link, etc.), and the placeable may then be converted into a language independent format (340), such as a meta-representation, or directly converted into a target language or locale. The meta-representation (420, 520) allows the system to convert the placeable into any target language because the format (meta-representation) is common to all locales. The meta-representation can be converted according to any target locale to produce a target placeable (360). At this point, the placeable may be inserted into the target language and any conversion may be made automatically or semiautomatically.

Consider the following sentence: "A man, called Mr. Miller, left his apartment on the 25th of January in a car that is capable of driving at speeds above 160 mph." A machine translation program would face great difficulties in determining whether "A man called Mr. Miller on the phone" or whether "A man" is the same person as "Mr. Miller." This question can only be answered by evaluating the context. In other words, if one were to look at the word "called" alone, it would be virtually impossible to translate the sentence correctly. However, if the entire token (410) is considered: "A man, called Mr. Miller," then you (or a machine) could come up with a meaningful translation. FIG. 3 shows how the invention processes this sentence and how a placeable would be treated the

first time it was identified. Three placeables are identified: Mr. Miller, 25th of January, and 160 mph.

| Identified Placeable | Classification & language-independent formatting | Transformation to be inserted in the target text |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Mr. Miller | Placeable/Name w/Title | Herr Miller |
| 25 th of January | Placeable/Date-longform | 25. Januar |
| 160 mph | Placeable /number w/unit | 260 km/h |

When the system is presented with the foregoing text as part of an input of text to be translated (e.g., source translation information), the system will first segment the text, preferably into sentences. The foregoing sentence may then advantageously be tokenized. According to one embodiment of the invention, it can be tokenized into elements corresponding to the words or phrases in the source translation sentence. The tokenizing process will consider whether the elements may be identified as placeables according to a rule-based query and/or with the use of finite state tools such as look-up tables. Next, the type of the element identified as a placeable will be determined. This determination may also be accomplished by a rule-based inquiry and/or with the use of a finite state process such as by tables. The output of the tokenizer will include the non-placeable elements and an indication of the type of any placeable elements. This output may be provided to a translation memory in order to locate any identical or similar segments that have been previously translated. The system may propose a translation based on the previously translated target text located in the translation memory and direct placement with or without conversion of the placeable elements. The system may advantageously be implemented by software in a general purpose computer such as a personal computer.

The determination of a placeable may be a one or two step method using a rule-based system/a finite state system. The one-step method may also be accomplished by determining the type using a rule-based system that views the entire token. For example: is this token a date, is this
5 token a proper noun, is this token a hyperlink? The two step-method may first determine whether the token is a placeable and then determine its type. This may be accomplished using a finite state process that examines each character of a token one at a time until a determination is reached.

One of the identifying features of a placeable is that its meaning is
10 not likely to vary by context. Such placeables may include types that may be directly used in a translation, for example, numbers or graphics. Other types of placeables may be used after conversion, such as numbers coupled with units. For example, a placeable appearing as "62 miles per hour" may be converted to "100 kilometers per hour". Such a conversion is
15 distinguished from a translation by its formulaic nature. A formulaic conversion is suitable in situations where context is not likely to affect the translation.

The following example illustrates the translation of text including a similar placeable in another source text. The translation memory system
20 includes the following source text unit plus its translation from the reference file(s):

Translation Memory Source Text Unit: "A man, called Mr. Smith, left his apartment on the 1st of April in a car that is capable of driving at speeds above 100 mph."

25 Translation Memory Target Text Unit (German): "Ein Mann, namens Herr Smith, verliess sein Apartment am 1. April in einem Auto, das schneller als 160 km/h fahren kann."

New Text Unit to be translated is: "A man, called Mr. Miller, left his apartment on the 25th of January in a car that is capable of driving at
30 speeds above 160 mph."

As shown in FIG. 5., the new source text unit may be divided into elements (510). The placeables may then be classified and converted to a

language-independent format (520). When the software is able to correlate three placeables in the Translation Memory Target Text Unit with placeables in both the Translation Memory Source Text Unit and the New Text to be translated, a translation memory system using this invention
5 can determine and propose the location for inserting the placeables automatically, that is, without any user interaction. In the above example, the system will be capable of determining that:

- I. The only difference between the Text Unit (to be translated) and the Translation Memory Source Text Unit (a similar text
10 that has been translated earlier) is found in three tokens.
- II. All three tokens are placeables.
- III. The type (i.e., date, speed, name) of the placeable tokens are the same in Translation Memory Source Text Units and the text to be translated.
- 15 IV. In the Old Target Text Unit, exactly the same number and type of placeable tokens can be found. The translation system may propose to reuse the previous translation (Translation Memory Target Text Unit) and replace the placeable tokens with the new name (=Mr. Miller), the new
20 date (=25th January), and the new speed (=160 mph).
- V. The software may convert certain parts of the placeables depending on the type. In this example: "Herr Miller", "25. Januar", and "280 km/h" (330).

Storing Placeables

- 25 There are two types of translation memory systems on the market today: reference file driven TMs and database driven TMs. Reference file driven TMs keep the source text and target text in two different locations, aligning the two by keeping a list of reference pointers to each other. In reference file driven systems, all source text units ever written (or
30 otherwise created), and all translations thereof, may be physically stored and kept in files. In database driven TMs using this invention, there is a high potential to conserve data storage space by simply storing the text

units as templates or skeletons.

We claim:

- 1 1. A method for processing source information comprising the steps of:
2 parsing input source information into elements;
3 identifying placeable elements by a predetermined criteria;
4 designating said placeable elements by type.
- 1 2. A method for processing source information according to claim 1,
2 further comprising the step of :
3 determining a source locale.
- 1 3. A method for processing source information according to claim 2,
2 further comprising the step of :
3 applying a source placeable identifier to determine said type of said
4 element.
- 1 4. A method for processing source information according to claim 1,
2 further comprising the step of :
3 determining a target locale.
- 1 5. A method for processing source information according to claim 1,
2 further comprising the step of :
3 applying a target placeable converter to convert said type of
4 said element.

- 1 6. A method for processing source information according to claim 2,
2 further comprising the step of :
3 applying said source locale to determine said element by type.
- 1 7. A method for processing source information according to claim 1,
2 further comprising the step of :
3 converting said element into a language-independent format.
- 1 8. A method for processing source information according to claim 1,
2 further comprising the steps of :
3 determining whether said placeable is a proper noun;
4 placing said placeable directly into a target output.
- 1 9. A method for processing source information according to claim 1,
2 further comprising the steps of :
3 determining whether said placeable is a date;
4 converting said date into a target information according to a
5 target locale information.
- 1 10. A method for processing source information according to claim 1,
2 further comprising the steps of :
3 determining whether said placeable is a proper noun;
4 converting said placeable into a language independent format.

1 11. A method for processing source information according to claim 1,
2 further comprising the steps of :
3 determining whether said placeable is a proper noun;
4 converting said placeable into a meta-representation.

1 12. A method for processing source information according to claim 1,
2 further comprising the steps of :
3 determining whether said placeable is a date;
4 converting said placeable into a language independent format.

1 13. A method for processing source information according to claim 1,
2 further comprising the step of :
3 determining whether said placeable requires conversion.

1 14. A method for processing source information according to claim 1,
2 further comprising the step of :
3 determining whether said placeable is a proper noun.

1 15. A method for processing source information according to claim 1,
2 further comprising the step of :
3 determining whether said placeable is a date.

1 16. A method for processing source information according to claim 1,
2 further comprising the step of :
3 determining output requirement for conversions.

- 1 17. A computer driven language processing system for processing source
2 information comprising:
3 a parser;
4 an element identifier, connected to an output of said parser;
5 a type designator, connected to an output of said element identifier.
- 1 18. A computer driven language processing system for processing source
2 information comprising:
3 a parser for parsing source information into elements;
4 an element identifier identifying placeable elements by a
5 predetermined criteria;
6 a type designator for designating said placeable elements by type.
- 1 19. A method for processing source information comprising the steps of:
2 segmenting input source information into elements;
3 identifying placeable elements by a predetermined criteria;
4 designating said placeable elements by type.
- 1 20. A method for processing source information according to claim 8,
2 further comprising the step of :
3 comparing said placeable elements to a data set.
- 1 21. A method for processing source information according to claim 8,
2 further comprising the step of :
3 comparing said placeable elements by type to a data set.





FIG. 1

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)



SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)




FIG. 3

4/4

| 410 | 420 | 430 |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| TOKEN TEXT | CLASSIFICATION | TRANSFORMATION |
| A MAN | TEXT | - |
| CALLED | TEXT | - |
| MR. MILLER | PLACEABLE/ NAME W/ TITLE | HERR MILLER |
| LEFT HIS APARTMENT ON THE | TEXT | - |
| 25TH OF JANUARY | PLACEABLE/ DATE-LONG FORM | 25. JANUAR |
| IN A CAR THAT IS C... | TEXT | - |
| 160 MPH | PLACEABLE/ NUMBER W/ UNIT | 260 KM/H |

FIG. 4

| 510 | 520 | 530 |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| TOKEN TEXT | CLASSIFICATION | TRANSFORMATION |
| EIN MANN | TEXT | - |
| NAMENS | TEXT | - |
| HERR UNGERMANN | PLACEABLE/ NAME W/ TITLE | IRRELEVANT |
| VERLIESS SEIN APARTMENT.. | TEXT | - |
| 1. APRIL | PLACEABLE/ DATE-LONG FORM | IRRELEVANT |
| IN EINEM AUTO. DASS... | TEXT | - |
| 160 KM/H | PLACEABLE/ NUMBER W/ UNIT | IRRELEVANT |
| FAHREN KANN. | TEXT | - |

FIG. 5

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/EP 99/02959

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 6 G06F17/28

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 G06F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

| Category * | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to claim No. |
|------------|--|---------------------------|
| X | WO 94 06086 A (CATERPILLAR INC) 17 March 1994 (1994-03-17) page 11, line 15 - line 20 page 17, line 1 - page 18, line 4 page 30, line 5 - line 24 page 32, line 12 - line 20 --- | 1,5,7,8, 10-21 |
| X | EP 0 668 558 A (SUN MICROSYSTEMS INC) 23 August 1995 (1995-08-23) column 1, line 1 - column 2, line 37 column 8, line 13 - line 30 column 9, line 18 - column 10, line 14 --- -/-- | 1-6,9, 13,15, 16,19 |

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "S" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

19 August 1999

Date of mailing of the international search report

02/09/1999

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Pedersen, N

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int'l Application No
PCT/EP 99/02959

| C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|
| Category | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to claim No. |
| A | HARADA K ET AL: "Machine translation software for the Internet" SANYO TECHNICAL REVIEW, OCT. 1996, SANKEN ELECTRIC CO, JAPAN, vol. 28, no. 2, pages 66-74, XP002112717 ISSN: 0285-516X abstract figure 5 --- | 1-21 |
| A | ANONYMOUS: "Method to Make a Translated Text File Have the Same Printer Control Tags As the ORIGINAL Text File" IBM TECHNICAL DISCLOSURE BULLETIN, vol. 32, no. 2, pages 375-377, XP000033460 New York, US page 1, line 1 - page 3, line 17 --- | 1-21 |
| A | EP 0 262 938 A (BRITISH TELECOMM) 6 April 1988 (1988-04-06) column 5, line 7 - line 14 column 5, line 44 - column 6, line 21 column 6, line 46 - line 54 ----- | 1-21 |

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP 99/02959

| Patent document cited in search report | | Publication date | Patent family member(s) | Publication date |
|---|---|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| WO 9406086 | A | 17-03-1994 | AU 696867 B | 17-09-1998 |
| | | | AU 1500797 A | 17-04-1997 |
| | | | AU 677605 B | 01-05-1997 |
| | | | AU 5087993 A | 29-03-1994 |
| | | | BR 9307175 A | 30-03-1999 |
| | | | CA 2141221 A | 17-03-1994 |
| | | | CN 1083952 A | 16-03-1994 |
| | | | EP 0658259 A | 21-06-1995 |
| | | | FI 951010 A | 03-03-1995 |
| | | | JP 8501166 T | 06-02-1996 |
| | | | NZ 255865 A | 24-06-1997 |
| | | | NZ 299101 A | 24-06-1997 |
| | | | NZ 314657 A | 24-06-1997 |
| | | | US 5677835 A | 14-10-1997 |
| EP 0668558 | A | 23-08-1995 | JP 8006781 A | 12-01-1996 |
| | | | US 5664206 A | 02-09-1997 |
| EP 0262938 | A | 06-04-1988 | AT 98792 T | 15-01-1994 |
| | | | CA 1294056 A | 07-01-1992 |
| | | | CN 1029170 B | 28-06-1995 |
| | | | DE 3788488 D | 27-01-1994 |
| | | | DE 3788488 T | 11-05-1994 |
| | | | ES 2047494 T | 01-03-1994 |
| | | | WO 8802516 A | 07-04-1988 |
| | | | HK 136196 A | 02-08-1996 |
| | | | IN 170322 A | 14-03-1992 |
| | | | JP 1501977 T | 06-07-1989 |
| | | | JP 2848458 B | 20-01-1999 |
| | | | RU 2070734 C | 20-12-1996 |
| | | | US 5765131 A | 09-06-1998 |
| | | | US 5384701 A | 24-01-1995 |